

**United States Department of Labor
Employees' Compensation Appeals Board**

W.G., Appellant

and

**U.S. POSTAL SERVICE, POST OFFICE,
Denver, CO, Employer**

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

**Docket No. 13-1112
Issued: October 22, 2014**

Appearances:
Timothy Quinn, Esq., for the appellant
Office of Solicitor, for the Director

Case Submitted on the Record

ORDER GRANTING ATTORNEY'S FEE

Before:

COLLEEN DUFFY KIKO, Judge
PATRICIA HOWARD FITZGERALD, Judge
MICHAEL E. GROOM, Alternate Judge

Counsel for appellant has filed a request for approval of attorney's fee in the amount of nine hundred and sixty dollars (\$960.00). Counsel noted that his fee was based on 6.4 hours of work at \$150.00 an hour. His client noted his approval of the fee request. Counsel filed the request under the Office of Workers' Compensation Programs (OWCP) *Rules of Procedure*, pursuant to 20 C.F.R. § 10.703.

The Board notes that all petitions for approval of fees for representative services are considered under the Board's *Rules of Procedure* and are found at 20 C.F.R. § 501.9.¹

¹ 5 U.S.C. § 8127, 20 C.F.R. § 501.9(e).

Under these regulations, the Board must consider the petition under the following general criteria:

- (1) The usefulness of the Representative's services;²
- (2) The nature and complexity of the appeal;³
- (3) The capacity in which the Representative has appeared;⁴
- (4) The actual time spent in connection with the Board appeal;⁵ and
- (5) Customary local charges for similar services.⁶

As required by the Board's regulations, appellant has been afforded written notice of the fee requested and provided an opportunity to comment on the fee petition.

The Board, having considered the fee petition and supporting documentation, grants the fee petition.

² The Board's consideration of "usefulness" includes, but is not limited to, the frequency and quality of communication by the attorney with the client, the factual evidence and legal argument offered by the attorney and written pleadings filed in the case. The Board will also consider the usefulness of an attorney's work as it aided the Board in its consideration and decision of the issue appealed.

³ The Board's evaluation of the "nature and complexity" of an appeal includes, but is not limited to, whether the issue appealed is novel or required extensive or unusual factual evidence or legal argument. The Board recognizes that not all complex issues are cases of first impression. However, the attorney must establish the complex or unusual nature of the appeal.

⁴ The Board's consideration of the "capacity" in which an attorney appears includes, but is not limited to, whether the attorney obtained a written retainer and fee agreement.

⁵ The Board's evaluation of an attorney's itemized statement of work and charges includes, but is not limited to, whether the statement is clear, detailed and describes those aspects of the appeal which merit the fee claimed and whether counsel has personally affirmed the correctness of the fee. No stipulated or contingent fee will be approved by the Board. 20 C.F.R. § 501.9(e).

⁶ The Board's consideration of customary, local fees recognizes that attorneys often have clients in several states and that local custom must be balanced against national practice in the Federal Employees' Compensation Act appeals.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the fee petition is granted in the sum of nine hundred and sixty dollars (\$960.00).

Issued: October 22, 2014
Washington, DC

Colleen Duffy Kiko, Judge
Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

Patricia Howard Fitzgerald, Judge
Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

Michael E. Groom, Alternate Judge
Employees' Compensation Appeals Board